Mark Maddison
CONSULTANCY

MADE FOR THE WORLD

Website Accessibility Final Validation Report

Retest outcome and WCAG 2.2 Level AA position

Prepared by: Mark Maddison Consultancy
Assessment standard: WCAG 2.2 Level AA
Report date: 18 November 2026
Version: 2.0
Status: Final

Document control

	Details
Client	Made for the World
Website	https://madefortheworld.studio/
Assessment standard	WCAG 2.2 Level AA
Initial assessment dates	08 October 2026 - 25 October 2026
Initial report	Website Accessibility Audit and Remediation Report, 26 October 2026
Retest period	04 – 09 November 2026 18 November 2026 – agreed re-test completed
Prepared by	Mark Maddison, Accessibility Consultant
Report version	2.0
Status	Final

1. Purpose of this report

This report records the outcome of the one agreed round of accessibility retesting completed after Made for the World carried out remediation following the initial accessibility assessment dated 26 October 2026.

It should be read alongside the initial Website Accessibility Audit and Remediation Report. The initial report includes a full description, user impact, and remediation guidance for each finding. This final report records the validation outcome and identifies which findings are resolved, partially resolved or still outstanding.

The purpose of this report is to provide a clear final position for the agreed tested scope, including the current WCAG 2.2 Level AA position and the evidence relevant to B Impact Assessment requirement JEDI2.m.

2. Scope and validation approach

The retest used the same agreed representative scope as the initial assessment. The 10 templates were:

- Home
- About
- Approach
- Work listing
- Work item
- Insight listing
- Insight item
- Contact page and form
- Child page template
- Legal and policy template

Retesting focused on the accessibility findings identified in the initial report. It was not a new exhaustive audit of every page or component. I rechecked relevant findings using manual review, keyboard-only testing, and JAWS 2025 with Google Chrome, depending on the nature of the original issue.

As with the initial assessment, conclusions apply to the representative templates, test conditions and technologies within scope. Changes outside the tested sample may introduce or resolve issues that are not reflected here.

2.1 Status definitions

Status	Meaning
Resolved	The original barrier was not reproduced during retesting, and the remediation is considered effective within the tested scope.
Partially resolved	The remediation improved the original issue, but part of the accessibility barrier remains.
Outstanding	The original issue remains present, or the retest still identifies a barrier requiring remediation.

3. Final validation summary

The agreed retesting confirms that all barriers identified in the initial assessment have been addressed.

Resolved	Partially resolved	Outstanding
22	0	0

Remediation has successfully addressed all issues.

Final WCAG position: Based on the agreed representative scope and the completed retesting, the tested scope meets WCAG 2.2 Level AA.

4. Finding-by-finding retest outcome

The original finding descriptions and full remediation guidance remain in the initial audit report. The table below records the final retest outcome.

ID	Finding	Status	Retest outcome
A01	Insufficient contrast on boxes, table borders and outlines	**Resolved**	The visual contrast issue was no longer reproduced during retesting.
A02	Heading “Your cause is our discipline” announced in fragments	**Resolved**	The heading is now read in one go and not separately.
A03	Images do not have meaningful alternative text	**Resolved**	Within the retested sample, informative images had useful accessible names and decorative images were skipped by JAWS as expected. JAWS saying “graphic” is a normal role announcement and is not itself a failure.
A04	Organisation logos have inconsistent or incomplete accessible names	**Resolved**	Organisation logos were announced with appropriate names during retesting.
A05	“Free website impact scorecard” title is an image and missing from heading structure	**Resolved**	The heading is now present in the heading list and is read out appropriately.
A06	Heading “The world is not made for us, we are…” announced in fragments	**Resolved**	The heading is now read in one go and not separately.
A07	Heading “Made for the World” announced in fragments	**Resolved**	The heading is now read in one go and not separately.
A08	Decorative composite image announced unnecessarily	**Resolved**	The decorative image is now skipped appropriately by JAWS.
A09	Heading “Read our annual B Corp Impact Report” announced in fragments	**Resolved**	The heading is now read in one go and not separately.

ID	Finding	Status	Retest outcome
A10	Heading “Most websites are designed for engagement…” announced in fragments	**Resolved**	The heading is now read in one go and not separately.
A11	Heading “We design yours for impact” announced in fragments	**Resolved**	The heading is now read in one go and not separately.
A12	Project action and impact information announced together	**Resolved**	Both sets of text are now read separately and not together.
A13	Low contrast between logo container and page background	**Resolved**	The panel/background contrast issue was no longer reproduced during retesting.
A14	Insufficient colour contrast in testimonial text	**Resolved**	The testimonial text contrast issue was no longer reproduced during retesting.
A15	Low contrast between content panels and page background	**Resolved**	The content-panel contrast issue was no longer reproduced during retesting.
A16	“Verité by numbers” graphic accessibility	**Resolved**	Following remediation and clarification of the image purpose, the original barrier was no longer reproduced during retesting.
A17	Article card content announced as one continuous sequence	**Resolved**	The article-card reading sequence issue was no longer reproduced during retesting.
A18	Linked image has unclear purpose and opens the raw image file	**Resolved**	The image itself is now skipped by JAWS.
A19	Budget options are not announced clearly by screen reader	**Resolved**	All budget options are read individually and are clear to the user.
A20	“Interested in” options cannot be operated using the keyboard	**Resolved**	The options can now be navigated and selected using the keyboard.
A21	Form errors do not clearly identify which field needs attention	**Resolved**	Form error feedback was communicated appropriately during retesting.
A22	Keyboard and screen reader navigation skips form fields	**Resolved**	The form is now navigable from top to bottom.

5. Final WCAG 2.2 Level AA validation position

Mark Maddison Consultancy completed the agreed round of accessibility retesting following the initial assessment of the 10 representative website templates.

The accessibility barriers identified during the initial assessment have now been resolved and successfully retested within the agreed scope.

Based on the representative templates, technologies and testing conditions used during this assessment, the tested scope meets WCAG 2.2 Level AA.

This conclusion applies to the agreed representative scope and reflects the state of the website at the time of final validation. It should not be interpreted as a guarantee that every page, browser, device or assistive technology combination will be free from accessibility barriers, particularly where future changes are made after testing.

6. B Impact Assessment evidence mapping

Requirement	Evidence from this engagement	Final validation position
WCAG AA or AAA	Assessment and retesting completed against WCAG 2.2 Level AA across the agreed representative scope.	Final validation completed. Based on the agreed representative scope, technologies and testing conditions, the tested scope meets WCAG 2.2 Level AA.

Requirement	Evidence from this engagement	Final validation position
Specialist assessment	Assessment carried out by Mark Maddison, Accessibility Consultant, Mark Maddison Consultancy.	Covered.
Manual testing	The engagement included manual inspection, keyboard testing and assistive technology testing, with findings retested after remediation.	Covered.
Feedback from people with disabilities	Feedback is based on direct testing by Mark Maddison, a disabled accessibility specialist and assistive technology user.	Covered.

7. Engagement completion

The agreed accessibility engagement has now been completed. This comprised the initial accessibility assessment, written remediation guidance and one round of retesting following Made for the World's remediation work.

This final validation report records the outcome of that retest and concludes the work included within the agreed scope.

Mark Maddison
Accessibility Consultant
Mark Maddison Consultancy